



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/701,158

11/04/2003

Seppo Pohja

NOKM.067PA

5523

7590 09/05/2007  
Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC  
Suite 125  
8009 34th Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN 55425

EXAMINER

TRAN, TUAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2618

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/05/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action  
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/701,158

Applicant(s)

POHJA ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan A. Tran

Art Unit

2618

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☒ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.  
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  
Claim(s) allowed: 18-21.  
Claim(s) objected to: 1-10,13-17,22-31 and 33-52.  
Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.  
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_.  
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
13. ☒ Other: See attachment.

  
Tuan Tran  
AU 2618

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the addresses" should be changed to "communication addresses" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "communication addresses" should be changed to "the communication addresses" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "communication addresses" should be changed to "the communication addresses" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "a terminal" should be changed to "the mobile communications device" as well as the phrase "the terminal" should be changed to "the mobile communications device" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the terminal" should be changed to "the mobile communications device" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the terminal" should be changed to "the mobile communications device" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "mapping the identifiers of interest to communication addresses" should be changed to "mapping the obtained identifiers to the communication addresses" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "digitally associating the received identifiers with the created digital content" should be changed to "registering the identifiers of the one or more entities with the created digital content" as well as the phrase "each of the received identifiers" should be changed to "each of the identifiers" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 27-29 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "a mobile terminal" should be changed to "the mobile communications device" as well as the phrase "the mobile terminal" should be changed to "the mobile communications device" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the addresses" should be changed to "addresses" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the addresses" should be changed to "addresses" for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 44 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the addresses" should be changed to "addresses" as well as the phrase "one or more entities registered with the created digital content" should be changed to "one or more

entities associated with the created digital content” for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 46 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase “addresses” should be changed to “the addresses” for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 48 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase “the addresses” should be changed to “addresses” for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 52 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase “implicates the first and second mobile device” should be changed to “implicates the first and second devices”, and the phrase “to obtain the identifiers of the first and second mobile devices” should be changed to “to obtain the identifiers of the first mobile devices” as well as the phrase “a list of the identifiers of the first and second mobile devices” should be changed to “a list of the identifiers of the first mobile devices” for consistency as well as in accordance with the Specification, figures 6A-6B, pages 19-20. Appropriate correction is required.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 1-10, 13-17, 22-31 and 33-52 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The reasons have been indicated in the Office Action mailed on 06/14/2007.

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Tuan Tran  
AU 2618

Application/Control Number: 10/701,158

Page 6

Art Unit: 2618